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The social and environmental changes, which were the consequence of two world wars, in turn created and then reshaped the teaching and subsequently the practical application of Estate Management at Cambridge.

In 1917 Mr W. C. Dampier Whetham (later Sir William Dampier FRS), a Fellow of Trinity College, wrote to the Council of the Senate advocating the establishment of a School of Rural Economy, to combine the teaching of estate management with the practical management of University and College estates.

He introduced his proposals by saying:

"The war has brought to light the need for new and more intensive farming, and the importance of the practice of estate management. The war has also shown that the education of estate agents must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced. The war has also shown that the management of the estates of the University and Colleges must be reorganized and that new courses of study must be introduced."
not until May 1945 that the Syndicate presented a Report\(^2\) which echoed Dampier's words written during the earlier world war:

“It appears likely that, after the war, the Nation will become more conscious of the importance of the proper management of land, and the post-war problems of the restoration and rebuilding of damaged property, the need for planning, the demand for housing and the organisation of agriculture and forestry will enlarge still further the need, in both public and private employment, for men with the high standard of training which the University can provide.”

It is interesting to see Dampier's name once more among the eight members of the Syndicate which produced this Report.

The Syndicate considered that the post-war period would create an exceptional demand for the professional services of the Estate Management Branch in connection with the release and restoration of requisitioned property, the making up of arrears of maintenance, repairs and improvements on property of all descriptions, and the adaptation of the equipment and methods of management of agricultural estates to the requirements of post-war developments in farming policy and practice. The demand for these services was already becoming urgent in connection with the release and restoration of University and College buildings, and in connection with University and College Estates.

The Syndicate recommended that a Department of Estate Management should be constituted, independent of any Faculty but directly responsible to the General Board, and controlled by a Board of Estate Management. The new Department should continue to be responsible for the control and development of both teaching and professional work in view of the practical advantages of such an arrangement.

The Report was accepted in May 1946 and the Department of Estate Management came into being the same year with Noël Dean as the first Director. The Department now provided teaching for degrees in Rural and Urban Estate Management, rather than the previous limited teaching in Rural Estate Management as part of the degree in Agriculture. It also continued to carry out extensive building and management work for the University and certain Colleges. Initially those engaged in teaching were required also to carry out professional work for the Department, and some holding professional appointments assisted with teaching, but during the 1950s the two sides of the Department grew apart and there were in effect two Departments, each under Noël Dean, well before his retirement in 1961.

His impending retirement led to a Report by the Council in January 1961\(^3\) based on a Memorandum prepared by the Board of Estate Management, which reversed the view taken in 1945 and recommended the complete separation of the professional and building function from the teaching and research function for four major reasons:

(a) The large increase in the volume of professional (advisory) work.
(b) The need to revise teaching courses and to develop teaching and research in the field of land management.
(c) The virtual impossibility of finding a suitable person competent and willing to organise and develop teaching and research and also to control the large volume of professional (advisory) work.
(d) The unsatisfactory arrangements whereby the General Board were responsible not only for teaching, but also for the professional work which was correctly the concern of the Financial Board.

The Financial Board recommended that the separation could best be implemented by the establishment of an Estate Management Advisory Service under their direct supervision and control to assist the Board “in the exercise of their duties and responsibilities as defined in Statutes and in the general regulations for University Buildings and to give similar assistance to Colleges when requested”.

On the teaching side the Department of Land Economy was established, directly responsible to the General Board, and the new Land Economy Tripos was established in 1962.

The separation of the two sides of the Department of Estate Management was complete. The Department of Land Economy moved first to Fitzwilliam Street and later to Silver Street and Laundress Lane. The new Estate Management and Advisory Service, now under John Mills as Director, remained in 74 Trumpington Street and the adjoining houses opposite Pembroke College. No. 74 'Kenmare' was designed by James Essex in the eighteenth century.

---
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century; no. 75 was a popular café – first the Pem and then Tullivers – in the 30's and 40's; and no. 76 was a lodging house.

After a decade of continuous and rapid growth, a further Report to the University in May 1973 led to a change in title to the present form of Estate Management and Building Service. The principle of charging for services to University Departments was discontinued although fees were still charged for work carried out for Colleges.

The principal duties of the Director were also more specifically defined whereby he would “assist the Financial Board in the exercise of their responsibilities for the care and management of all lands, houses, buildings and other real property belonging to the University”.

John Mills moved to Jesus College as Estates Bursar in 1975 to be succeeded as Director of Estate Management by Vernon McElroy. The present Director, David Todd-Jones, took over the post in 1987.

The University owns some 120 operational buildings in and around Cambridge with a net usable floor area of 250,000 m². In 1988–89 the maintenance and running costs on these buildings were around £8,000,000. There is also a rapidly increasing programme of capital works on which £5,000,000 was spent in 1988/89. The University's investment properties are currently valued at £74,000,000 and bring in annual rents of over £4,600,000.

The Service has a range of professional disciplines – architects, building surveyors, estate surveyors, quantity surveyors, mechanical and electrical engineers – together with support staff at Kenmare, building trades at Herbert Street, Chesterton, a Services Maintenance Unit on the Old Addenbrooke’s Site and gardeners and boilermen on a number of major sites.

What of the future? Lord Adrian, the Vice-Chancellor from 1985 to 1987, in his valedictory address on 1 October, 1987 stated that “we must plan to reduce permanently the proportion of our annual operating costs which comes from public funds, because these funds now come to us with conditions and constraints which limit our freedom of action... But full independence will not be ours until we have control over the major heads of our income and expenditure because we generate our own income and therefore can choose how to spend it... Without the ability to manage a major part of our income and the major part of our expenditure, we are in a poor position to shape our own future”.
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The establishment of the Development Unit and the Report on University Development to 2000, together with the report on Site Strategy to which the Service was a major contributor, are essential prerequisites to the implementation of Lord Adrian’s strategy, by ensuring that physical planning is adequately geared to support academic development and thus maintain the position of Cambridge as one of the world’s leading Universities.

In common with every other University department, the Estate Management and Building Service has had to respond over the past ten years to a reduction in funding from Government sources. The number of major projects funded by external organisations, however, dramatically increased to the extent that the current major building programme is of the order of £120,000,000 including projects at feasibility, design and construction stage and is by far the largest programme ever handled by the Service.

The future is clearly one of continuing change with demands the ability to adapt accordingly. The story of the Estate Management and Building Service shows how it has responded to the changing needs of the University. It can justifiably face the future with confidence.

Mr D. J. Todd-Jones MA, ARICS is Director of Estate Management.
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